Leveraging Artificial Intelligence to Personalize Education and Support the Needs of Diverse Students

Dr. Gabriel Julien

The University of the West Indies, Global Campus St Augustine, Trinidad and Tobago
Email : gabrieljulien7@gmail.com

Abstract— The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in educational contexts presents significant opportunities to personalize learning and address the needs of diverse student populations. This conceptual analysis synthesizes recent scholarly literature (2020–2025) to examine how AI can be leveraged to tailor instruction, enhance engagement, and promote equitable access. Framed by the principles of Universal Design for Learning (UDL), the study explores applications such as adaptive learning systems, intelligent tutoring, learning analytics, and assistive technologies. The findings highlight AI's potential to provide responsive feedback, differentiate content, and reduce participation barriers by adapting to individual learner profiles. The analysis also addresses critical challenges, including ethical concerns, data privacy, algorithmic bias, and educator preparedness, which can limit AI's effectiveness if not adequately managed. The paper argues that when implemented with intentional pedagogical alignment and robust governance, AI can meaningfully support diverse learners and foster more inclusive learning environments. Recommendations are offered for educators, policymakers, and researchers to guide responsible, equitable, and effective AI integration in education.

Keywords— Artificial Intelligence, Personalized Learning, Inclusive Education, Diverse Learners, Universal Design for Learning, Ethical AI.

I. INTRODUCTION

The rapid progression of Artificial Intelligence (AI) is fundamentally transforming educational delivery, offering innovative solutions for personalized learning and enhanced support for diverse student populations. This technological shift paves the way for more tailored and inclusive experiences in classrooms worldwide. However, as noted by Farikah (2023) and Pratiwi and Waluyo (2023), increasingly heterogeneous classrooms present educators with significant challenges in meeting the varied academic, social, and cognitive needs of students with different abilities, cultural backgrounds, languages, and learning preferences.

Contemporary research indicates that AI can address some of these challenges through data-driven personalization, adaptive instruction, and targeted learner support (Bearman et al., 2023; Saborío-Taylor & Rojas-Ramírez, 2024; Zhu et al., 2025). Technologies such as adaptive learning platforms, intelligent tutoring systems (ITS), and learning analytics demonstrate potential for tailoring instructional content, pacing, and feedback to individual learner profiles. This personalization is especially pertinent for students with disabilities, multilingual learners, and those at risk of disengagement, as AI systems can respond to individual strengths and needs. When aligned with inclusive pedagogical frameworks like Universal Design for Learning (UDL), AI can reduce learning barriers and promote equitable access by offering multiple means of representation, engagement, and expression (CAST, 2024; Edyburn, 2005).

Despite this promise, integrating AI into education raises serious concerns regarding ethical use, data privacy, algorithmic bias, and educator preparedness. Scholars caution that without intentional design and effective policy oversight, AI systems may inadvertently reinforce existing inequities rather than mitigate them (Wolf, 2023; Slootman et al., 2023). Therefore, a rigorous

examination of both the opportunities and limitations of AI is essential to ensure personalization efforts genuinely support diverse learners.

Against this background, this study examines how AI can be leveraged to personalize education and support the needs of diverse students. It analyzes current research and seeks to clarify AI's role using action-oriented language that emphasizes its function as a tool, a moderator, and an enabler within inclusive pedagogical systems. Guided by the research question, "How can AI be ethically and effectively leveraged to personalize education for diverse learners?", this paper provides recommendations for educators and stakeholders seeking to implement AI in equitable and pedagogically sound ways.

II.   LITERATURE REVIEW

Research on Artificial Intelligence in Education (AIEd) has accelerated over the past five years, producing a growing body of systematic reviews, empirical studies, and conceptual work that examines how AI can enable personalized instruction and support learners with diverse needs (Adeleye et al., 2024; Alqahtani, 2024; Bukar et al., 2024). AIEd encompasses adaptive learning platforms, intelligent tutoring systems (ITS), learning analytics, and automated formative assessment. Scholars affirm that AIEd is a tool that must be carefully integrated with sound pedagogical practices to improve learner engagement and outcomes (Wang et al., 2024; Létourneau et al., 2025).

2.1   Personalized Learning Through Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS):

Intelligent Tutoring Systems represent a mature AI application in education, employing learner models, domain knowledge, and pedagogical rules to adapt instruction, feedback, and pacing. Recent literature characterizes ITS as data-driven systems capable of diagnosing misconceptions, monitoring progress, and providing timely support (Acevedo-Duque et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2024). Meta-analyses report positive effects of ITS on academic achievement, engagement, and self-regulated learning, with adaptive feedback and mastery learning identified as key mechanisms.

From an inclusion perspective, ITS offers affordances that support diverse learners. Adaptive pacing and differentiated feedback benefit students with learning disabilities and those who require additional practice or non-linear progression (Alhassan & Adam, 2021; Melo-López et al., 2025). By presenting content through multiple representations and offering varied learning aids, ITS supports UDL-aligned accessibility for learners with diverse cognitive and linguistic profiles (Saborío-Taylor & Rojas-Ramírez, 2024).

However, limitations exist. Many ITS studies focus on short-term interventions and homogeneous samples, limiting understanding of long-term effects across diverse groups (Latikka et al., 2023; Prahani et al., 2022). Algorithmic bias and lack of transparency in learner modeling could disadvantage students with non-traditional learning behaviors unless inclusive design principles are actively incorporated (Klos et al., 2021). Responsible implementation requires continuous research for inclusive system design, educator involvement, and evaluation frameworks that examine equity, accessibility, and learner agency (Mullin et al., 2021; Holmes & Porayska-Pomsta, 2022).

2.2   UDL and AI: Synergistic Support for Diverse Students:

Universal Design for Learning provides an evidence-based framework for creating inclusive learning environments by offering multiple means of engagement, representation, and action & expression (CAST, 2024). Aligning UDL with AI has the potential to scale differentiated instruction while preserving learner autonomy and flexibility (Saborío-Taylor, 2024).

AI supports UDL by presenting content through varied modalities (text, audio, visuals, simulations) and adjusting task difficulty, pacing, and scaffolding in response to learner performance (Mustafa, 2024; U.S. Department of Education, Office of Educational Technology, 2023). Effective alignment requires a human-in-the-loop approach where educators actively guide algorithmic personalization and interpret learning analytics to inform instructional decisions (Iyamuremye et al., 2025; Luo et al., 2025). This partnership ensures AI supports rather than supplants pedagogical judgment, promoting learner self-regulation and engagement.

2.3   AI-Enabled Assistive Technologies and Accessibility:

Research indicates significant benefits of AI-driven assistive technologies for learners with sensory, motor, and learning disabilities (Alsaleh, 2026; Elshaer et al., 2025). Tools such as speech-to-text, text-to-speech, automated transcription, and audio description enhance access to learning materials and enable individualized support (Cotton, 2024; Gupta et al., 2024).

Journal of Creative Research in English Literature & Culture (JCRELC)   **ISSN:** 3108-0812   [Vol-2, Issue-2, February 2026]

Website: www.jcrelc.com

When integrated with instructional practice, these technologies support skill acquisition and participation. However, limitations persist regarding generalizability, small sample sizes, and reliance on short-term pilot designs (Saabi, 2025; Zerkouk, 2025).

2.4 Ethics, Equity, and Governance in AI for Education:

Incorporating AI into education raises critical ethical, equity, and governance considerations. Key challenges include data privacy, algorithmic bias, transparency, and unequal access to technological resources (Holmes et al., 2022; Zhai et al., 2021). AI systems often rely on extensive datasets, raising concerns about informed consent, surveillance, and data security—particularly for marginalized groups (Akgun & Greenhow, 2022).

Algorithmic bias can arise from non-representative training data, potentially disadvantaging students from under-resourced contexts (Kizilcec & Lee, 2022). Effective governance frameworks emphasize human-centered AI, educator involvement, and continuous monitoring to prevent harm and ensure fairness (UNESCO, 2021). Without intentional equity-focused design and robust governance, AI tools risk reinforcing existing educational inequities rather than alleviating them.

III.   CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK: AI FOR SUPPORTING DIVERSE LEARNERS

Synthesizing the literature, this study proposes a conceptual framework that positions learner diversity at the center of AI-enabled personalization. The framework integrates four interconnected components:

1. **Learner Diversity as the Foundation:** Recognizes variability in ability, language, culture, and learning preferences as the starting point for design.

2. **AI Personalization Mechanisms:** Encompasses technologies like ITS, adaptive platforms, and learning analytics that provide tailored content, pacing, and feedback.

3. **Pedagogical Alignment & Educator Mediation:** Emphasizes the essential integration with frameworks like UDL and the critical role of educators in interpreting AI insights and making contextual instructional decisions.

4. **Ethical & Governance Safeguards:** Surrounds all other components, emphasizing the need for policies and practices that ensure data privacy, mitigate bias, promote transparency, and guarantee equitable access.

This framework presents AI not as an autonomous solution, but as a tool embedded within inclusive pedagogy and ethical practice, mediated by professional educators to support diverse learners effectively and equitably.

IV.   METHODOLOGY

This study employs a conceptual analysis methodology to develop and justify the proposed framework. Conceptual analysis is appropriate for examining emerging, interdisciplinary topics where theoretical integration and synthesis are required (Jaakkola, 2020). The process involved a systematic review of recent literature (2020–2025) from peer-reviewed journals, academic books, and policy reports focusing on AIEd, personalized learning, UDL, and inclusive education.

The analysis followed a three-stage synthesis process:

1. **Identification & Categorization:** Key AIEd concepts (e.g., ITS, adaptive learning) were identified and categorized by their instructional functions.

2. **Pedagogical Integration:** Inclusive pedagogical principles, primarily UDL, were examined to determine how AI technologies could operationalize flexibility, accessibility, and learner variability.

3. **Ethical Synthesis:** Ethical, equity, and governance considerations were analyzed to address bias, privacy, transparency, and oversight.

Findings from this synthesis were integrated to develop the "AI for Supporting Diverse Learners" framework, which was iteratively refined for conceptual consistency and alignment with inclusive education goals.

V.   DISCUSSION

The discussion interprets the findings through the lens of the proposed conceptual framework, examining the interplay between its components.

5.1   The Personalization Engine: ITS and Adaptive AI:

As a core AI personalization mechanism, Intelligent Tutoring Systems demonstrate how technology can provide dynamic, individualized scaffolding. When integrated within the larger framework—specifically, when guided by UDL principles and educator mediation—ITS moves beyond optimizing efficiency to addressing learner variability. This alignment ensures personalization supports equity by providing multiple pathways for engagement and expression, benefiting students with disabilities and multilingual learners (De Araujo et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2024).

5.2   The Essential Mediator: Educator Role and UDL Alignment:

The framework underscores that AI's pedagogical value is realized through human mediation. Educator involvement is crucial for contextualizing AI-generated insights, maintaining relational teaching, and ensuring personalization remains responsive to socio-cultural dimensions of learning (Holmes et al., 2022). UDL provides the principled bridge between AI capabilities and inclusive pedagogy, ensuring technology is used to flexibly reduce barriers rather than enforce standardized pathways.

5.3   The Necessary Safeguard: Ethics and Governance:

The outer governance layer of the framework is not peripheral but fundamental. Issues of algorithmic bias, data privacy, and equitable access determine whether AI personalization empowers or marginalizes. The literature consistently shows that without proactive governance—including transparent algorithms, diverse training data, and policies for equitable access—AI risks exacerbating the very disparities it aims to reduce (Kizilcec & Lee, 2022; UNESCO, 2021). Therefore, ethical safeguards must be actively designed into AI systems and implementation strategies from the outset.

VI.   CONCLUSION

The integration of AI into education presents a powerful opportunity to personalize learning and better support diverse students. Technologies like ITS and adaptive platforms can respond to learner variability in ways that are difficult to achieve at scale through traditional methods alone. However, this analysis concludes that the educational value of AI is not inherent in the technology but is derived from its deliberate alignment with inclusive pedagogy, mediated by professional educators, and constrained by robust ethical governance.

The proposed conceptual framework positions learner diversity as the central focus, advocating for AI personalization that is pedagogically integrated, human-centered, and ethically grounded. By adopting this holistic approach, stakeholders can work to ensure that AI-driven personalization acts as a tool for educational justice, enhancing accessibility, engagement, and outcomes for all learners while actively mitigating the risk of reinforcing existing inequities.

VII.   RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the synthesis, the following recommendations are offered to guide ethical and effective implementation:

1. **Align AI with UDL:** Intentionally design and select AI tools that operationalize UDL principles to ensure personalization promotes flexibility and access.

2. **Invest in Educator Capacity:** Provide professional development to help educators critically evaluate AI tools, interpret data, and integrate AI insights into inclusive teaching practices.

3. **Implement Robust Governance:** Develop clear policies at institutional and governmental levels addressing data privacy, algorithmic transparency, and accountability to prevent harm.

4. **Prioritize Equity in Design and Access:** Mandate bias audits in AI development and ensure equitable access to technology and infrastructure to prevent the widening of digital divides.

5. **Foster Interdisciplinary Research:** Support longitudinal and context-rich research that examines the real-world impact of AI personalization on diverse learners, guided by comprehensive frameworks

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

[1] Abulibdeh, A., Zaidan, E., & Abulibdeh, R. (2024). Navigating the confluence of artificial intelligence and education for sustainable development in the era of Industry 4.0: Challenges, opportunities, and ethical dimensions. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 437*, 140527. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.140527

[2] Acevedo-Duque, Á., Jiménez-Bucarey, C., Prado-Sabido, T., Fernández-Mantilla, M. M., Merino-Flores, I., Izquierdo-Marín, S. S., & Valle-Palomino, N. (2023). Education for sustainable development: Challenges for postgraduate programmes. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 20*(3), 1759. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20031759

[3] Adeleye, O., Eden, C. A., & Adeniyi, I. S. (2024). Innovative teaching methodologies in the era of artificial intelligence: A review of inclusive educational practices. *World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences, 11*(2), 069–079. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjaets.2024.11.2.0091

[4] Ahmed, S., Rahman, M. S., Kaiser, M. S., & Hosen, A. S. M. S. (2025). Advancing personalized and inclusive education for students with disability through artificial intelligence: Perspectives, challenges, and opportunities. *Digital, 5*(2), 11. https://doi.org/10.3390/digital5020011

[5] Akgun, S., & Greenhow, C. (2022). Artificial intelligence in education: Addressing ethical challenges in K-12 settings. *AI and Ethics, 2*, 431–440. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-021-00096-7

[6] Alhassan, M. D., & Adam, I. O. (2021). The effects of digital inclusion and ICT access on the quality of life: A global perspective. *Technology in Society, 64*, 101511. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2020.101511

[7] Almeqdad, Q. I., Alodat, A. M., Alquraan, M. F., Mohaidat, M. A., & Al-Makhzoomy, A. K. (2023). The effectiveness of Universal Design for Learning: A systematic review of the literature and meta-analysis. *Cogent Education, 10*(1), 2218191. https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2218191

[8] Alqahtani, S. S. (2024). Saudi teachers' perceptions on pedagogical affordances of digital applications in teaching students with learning disabilities. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 1–15. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2024.2372643

[9] AlRawi, J. M., & AlKahtani, M. A. (2021). Universal Design for Learning for educating students with intellectual disabilities: A systematic review. *International Journal of Developmental Disabilities, 68*(6), 800–815. https://doi.org/10.1080/20473869.2021.1900505

[10] Alsaleh, A. (2026). The influence of artificial intelligence on individuals with disabilities. *Acta Psychologica, 262*, 106010. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2025.106010

[11] Al-Zahrani, A. M., & Alasmari, T. M. (2024). Exploring the impact of artificial intelligence on higher education: The dynamics of ethical, social, and educational implications. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 11*(1), 912. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-03432-4

[12] Bearman, M., Ryan, J., & Ajjawi, R. (2023). Discourses of artificial intelligence in higher education: A critical literature review. *Higher Education, 86*, 369–385. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-022-00937-2

[13] Bray, A. (2024). What next for Universal Design for Learning? A systematic consideration of the future of UDL in relation to emerging technologies. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 55*(1), 194–208. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13328

[14] Bukar, U. A., Sayeed, M. S., Razak, S. F. A., Yogarayan, S., & Sneesl, R. (2024). Decision-making framework for the utilization of generative artificial intelligence in education: A case study of ChatGPT. *IEEE Access, 12*, 95368–95389. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3425172

[15] Capp, M. J. (2020). Teacher confidence to implement the principles, guidelines, and checkpoints of Universal Design for Learning. *International Journal of Inclusive Education, 24*(7), 706–720. https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2018.1482014

[16] CAST. (2024). *About Universal Design for Learning*. https://www.cast.org/impact/universal-design-for-learning-udl

[17] Cotton, D. R. E., Cotton, P. A., & Shipway, J. R. (2024). Chatting and cheating: Ensuring academic integrity in the era of ChatGPT. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International, 61*(2), 228–239. https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2023.2190148

[18] De Araujo, A., Papadopoulos, P. M., McKenney, S., & De Jong, T. (2023). Automated coding of student chats, a trans-topic and language approach. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 4*, 100123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2023.100123

[19] Edyburn, D. L. (2005). Universal design for learning. *Special Education Technology Practice, 7*(5), 16–22.

[20] Elshaer, I. A., AlNajdi, S. M., Salem, M. A., Elshaer, I. A., AlNajdi, S. M., & Salem, M. A. (2025). Sustainable AI solutions for empowering visually impaired students: The role of assistive technologies in academic success. *Sustainability, 17*(12), 5609. https://doi.org/10.3390/su17125609

[21] Espada-Chavarria, R., González-Montesino, R. H., López-Bastías, J. L., & Díaz-Vega, M. (2023). Universal Design for Learning and instruction: Effective strategies for inclusive higher education. *Education Sciences, 13*(6), 620. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13060620

[22] Fathi, J., Rahimi, M., & Derakhshan, A. (2024). Improving EFL learners' speaking skills and willingness to communicate via artificial intelligence-mediated interactions. *System, 121*, 103254. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2024.103254

[23] Farhood, H., Nyden, M., Beheshti, A., & Muller, S. (2025). Artificial Intelligence-based personalized learning in education: A systematic literature review. *Discover Artificial Intelligence, 5*, 331. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44163-025-00598-x

Journal of Creative Research in English Literature & Culture (JCRELC)   **ISSN:** 3108-0812   [Vol-2, Issue-2, February 2026]

Website: www.jcrelc.com

[24] Farikah, F., Mulyani, M., Astuty, A., & Mazid, S. (2023). Student engagement in virtual learning: The self-determination theory perspective. *Arab World English Journal, 14*(3), 182–198. https://doi.org/10.24093/awej/vol14no3.12

[25] Fortuna, A., Prasetya, F., Samala, A. D., Rawas, S., Criollo-C, S., Kaya, D., Raihan, M., Andriani, W., Safitri, D., & Nabawi, R. A. (2025). Artificial intelligence in personalized learning: A global systematic review of current advancements and shaping future opportunities. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open, 12*, 102114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2025.102114

[26] Garcia Ramos, J. (2024). Promoting equity and addressing concerns in teaching and learning with artificial intelligence. *Frontiers in Education, 9*, 1487882. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2024.1487882

[27] George, B., Wooden, O., George, B., & Wooden, O. (2023). Managing the strategic transformation of higher education through artificial intelligence. *Administrative Sciences, 13*(9), 196. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci13090196

[28] Ghandour, A., Woodford, B. J., & Abusaimeh, H. (2024). Ethical considerations in the use of ChatGPT: An exploration through the lens of five moral dimensions. *IEEE Access, 12*, 60682–60693. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3394243

[29] Gold, N. O., Coovadia, H., & Mahmood, T. (2025). Critical thinking development in a Quality Matters-based online learning: Student insights from a South African higher education context. *Frontiers in Education, 10*, 1642266.

https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1642266

[30] Gupta, P., & Verma, A. (2024). Bridging the digital divide: Navigating the landscape of digital equity. In B. Verma, B. Singla, & A. Mittal (Eds.), *Advances in web technologies and engineering* (pp. 167–179). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-1762-4.ch009

[31] Gupta, R., Nair, K., Mishra, M., Ibrahim, B., & Bhardwaj, S. (2024). Adoption and impacts of generative artificial intelligence: Theoretical underpinnings and research agenda. *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights, 4*(1), 100232. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjimei.2024.100232

[32] Hariyanto, H., Kristianingsih, F. X. D., & Maharani, R. (2025). Artificial intelligence in adaptive education: A systematic review of techniques for personalized learning. *Discover Education, 4*, 458. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-025-00908-6

[33] Hassan, R., Ali, A., Howe, C. W., & Zin, A. M. (2022). Constructive alignment by implementing design thinking approach in artificial intelligence course: Learners' experience. *AIP Conference Proceedings, 2433*(1), 020034. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0072986

[34] Holmes, W., & Porayska-Pomsta, K. (Eds.). (2022). *The ethics of artificial intelligence in education: Practices, challenges and debates*. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003005270

[35] Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2022). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promise and implications for teaching and learning* (2nd ed.). Center for Curriculum Redesign.

[36] Hyatt, S. E., & Owenz, M. B. (2024). Using Universal Design for Learning and artificial intelligence to support students with disabilities. *College Teaching*, 1–8. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1080/87567555.2024.2313468

[37] Iarskaia-Smirnova, E., Salnikova, D., & Kononenko, R. (2024). Inclusion in education: Its bonds and bridges. *Children and Youth Services Review, 158*, 107432. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2024.107432

[38] Iyamuremye, A., Twagilimana, I., & Niyonzima, F. N. (2025). Investigating the impact of using web-based discussion tools on students' conceptual understanding and 21st century skills development in organic chemistry education. *Discover Education, 4*, 187. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-025-00548-w

[39] Jaakkola, E. (2020). Designing conceptual articles: Four approaches. *AMS Review, 10*(1–2), 18–26. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13162-020-00161-0

[40] Kandeel, M. E., & Eldakak, A. (2024). Legal dangers of using ChatGPT as a co-author according to academic research regulations. *Journal of Governance and Regulation, 13*(1, Special Issue), 289–298. https://doi.org/10.22495/jgrv13i1siart3

[41] Kar, A. K., Varsha, P. S., & Rajan, S. (2023). Unravelling the impact of generative artificial intelligence (GAI) in industrial applications: A review of scientific and grey literature. *Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management, 24*(4), 659–689.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s40171-023-00356-x

[42] Khahro, S. H., Javed, Y., Khahro, S. H., & Javed, Y. (2022). Key challenges in 21st century learning: A way forward towards sustainable higher educational institutions. *Sustainability, 14*(23), 16080. https://doi.org/10.3390/su142316080

[43] Kizilcec, R. F., & Lee, H. (2022). Algorithmic fairness in education. In *The ethics of artificial intelligence in education* (pp. 174–202). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429329067-10

[44] Klos, M. C., Escoredo, M., Joerin, A., Lemos, V. N., Rauws, M., & Bunge, E. L. (2021). Artificial intelligence-based chatbot for anxiety and depression in university students: A pilot randomized controlled trial. *JMIR Formative Research, 5*(8), e20678.

https://doi.org/10.2196/20678

[45] Kooli, C., & Chakraoui, R. (2025). AI-driven assistive technologies in inclusive education: Benefits, challenges, and policy recommendations. *Sustainable Futures, 10*, 101042. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2025.101042

[46] Kruse, D., Schur, L., Johnson-Marcus, H. A., Gilbert, L., Di Lallo, A., Gao, W., & Su, H. (2024). Assistive technology's potential to improve employment of people with disabilities. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation, 34*(2), 299–315.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10926-023-10164-w

[47] Lalingkar, A., Audichya, V., Mishra, P., Mandyam, S., & Srinivasa, S. (2022). Models for finding quality of affirmation and points of intervention in an academic discussion forum. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 3*, 100046.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100046

[48] Latikka, R., Bergdahl, J., Savela, N., & Oksanen, A. (2023). AI as an artist? A two-wave survey study on attitudes toward using artificial intelligence in art. *Poetics, 101*, 101839. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.poetic.2023.101839

[49] Lee, L. K., Cheung, S. K. S., & Kwok, L. F. (2020). Learning analytics: Current trends and innovative practices. *Journal of Computers in Education, 7*(1), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40692-020-00155-8

[50] Lee, Y.-F., Hwang, G.-J., & Chen, P.-Y. (2022). Impacts of an AI-based chatbot on college students' after-class review, academic performance, self-efficacy, learning attitude, and motivation. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 70*(5), 1843–1865. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-022-10142-8

[51] Létourneau, A., Martineau, M. D., Charland, P., Karran, J. A., Boasen, J., & Léger, P. M. (2025). A systematic review of AI-driven intelligent tutoring systems (ITS) in K-12 education. *NPJ Science of Learning, 10*, 29. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41539-025-00320-7

[52] Levey, S. (2023). Universal Design for Learning. *Journal of Education, 203*(1), 55–65. https://doi.org/10.1177/00220574211031954

[53] Lin, C. C., Huang, A. Y. Q., & Lu, O. H. T. (2023). Artificial intelligence in intelligent tutoring systems toward personalized learning: Advances and challenges. *Smart Learning Environments, 10*, 41. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-023-00260-y

[54] Luo, J., Zheng, C., Yin, J., & Teo, H. H. (2025). Design and assessment of AI-based learning tools in higher education: A systematic review. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 22*, 42. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-025-00540-2

[55] Marzuki, M., Widiati, U., Rusdin, D., Darwin, D., & Indrawati, I. (2023). The impact of AI writing tools on the content and organization of students' writing: EFL teachers' perspective. *Cogent Education, 10*(2), 2236469.

https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2236469

[56] Meishar-Tal, H., & Kesler, A. (2023). If I create a game, I'll learn: Online game creation as a tool to promote learning skills of students with learning difficulties. *Interactive Learning Environments, 31*(5), 3071–3082.

https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2021.1919146

[57] Melo-López, A., Basantes-Andrade, A., Gudiño-Mejía, B., & Hernández-Martínez, E. (2025). The impact of artificial intelligence on inclusive education: A systematic review. *Education Sciences, 15*(5), 539. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15050539

[58] Merino-Campos, C. (2025). The impact of artificial intelligence on personalized learning in higher education: A systematic review. *Trends in Higher Education, 4*(2), 17. https://doi.org/10.3390/higheredu4020017

[59] Mullin, A. E., Coe, I. R., Gooden, E. A., Tunde-Byass, M., & Wiley, R. E. (2021). Inclusion, diversity, equity, and accessibility: From organizational responsibility to leadership competency. *Healthcare Management Forum, 34*(6), 311–315.

https://doi.org/10.1177/08404704211038232

[60] Mustafa, M. Y., Tlili, A., Lampropoulos, G., Huang, R., Jandrić, P., Zhao, J., Salha, S., Xu, L., Panda, S., Kinshuk, K., López-Pernas, S., & Saqr, M. (2024). A systematic review of literature reviews on artificial intelligence in education (AIED): A roadmap to a future research agenda. *Smart Learning Environments, 11*(1), 1–33. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-024-00350-5

[61] Navas-Bonilla, C. del R., Guerra-Arango, J. A., Oviedo-Guado, D. A., & Murillo-Noriega, D. E. (2025). Inclusive education through technology: A systematic review of types, tools and characteristics. *Frontiers in Education, 10*, 1527851.

https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1527851

[62] Omri, A., & Afi, H. (2025). Impact of artificial intelligence on employment of people with disabilities: The moderating role of governance quality. *Journal of Disability Research, 4*(2), 20250007. https://doi.org/10.57197/JDR-2025-0007

[63] Ouyang, F., Zheng, L., & Jiao, P. (2022). Artificial intelligence in online higher education: A systematic review of empirical research from 2011 to 2020. *Education and Information Technologies, 27*(6), 7893–7925. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-10925-9

[64] Park, Y. J., Lee, H., Jones-Jang, S. M., & Oh, Y. W. (2022). Digital assistants: Inequalities and social context of access, use, and perceptual understanding. *Poetics, 93*, 101689. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.poetic.2022.101689

[65] Peng, J., Li, Y., Peng, J., & Li, Y. (2025). Frontiers of artificial intelligence for personalized learning in higher education: A systematic review of leading articles. *Applied Sciences, 15*(18), 10096. https://doi.org/10.3390/app151810096

[66] Perry, N., Sun, C., Munro, M., Boulton, K. A., & Guastella, A. J. (2024). AI technology to support adaptive functioning in neurodevelopmental conditions in everyday environments: A systematic review. *NPJ Digital Medicine, 7*(1), 370.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-024-01355-7

[67] Prahani, B. K., Rizki, I. A., Jatmiko, B., Suprapto, N., & Tan, A. (2022). Artificial intelligence in education research during the last ten years: A review and bibliometric study. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning, 17*(8), 169–188. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v17i08.29833

[68] Pratiwi, D. I., & Waluyo, B. (2023). Autonomous learning and the use of digital technologies in online English classrooms in higher education. *Contemporary Educational Technology, 15*(2), ep423. https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/13094

[69] Qin, F., Li, K., & Yan, J. (2020). Understanding user trust in artificial intelligence-based educational systems: Evidence from China. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 51*(5), 1693–1710. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12994

[70] Rocha, D. F. S., Bittencourt, I. I., de Amorim Silva, R., & Ospina, P. L. E. (2023). An assistive technology based on Peirce's semiotics for the inclusive education of deaf and hearing children. *Universal Access in the Information Society, 22*, 1097–1116. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10209-022-00919-2

[71] Rojas Pernia, S., & Haya Salmón, I. (2021). Inclusive research, learning disabilities, and inquiry and reflection as training tools: A study on experiences from Spain. *Disability & Society, 36*(6), 978–998. https://doi.org/10.1080/09687599.2020.1779038

[72] Saborío-Taylor, S., & Rojas-Ramírez, F. (2024). Universal Design for Learning and artificial intelligence in the digital era: Fostering inclusion and autonomous learning. *International Journal of Professional Development, Learners and Learning, 6*(2), ep2408.

https://doi.org/10.30935/ijpdll/14694

Journal of Creative Research in English Literature & Culture (JCRELC)   **ISSN:** 3108-0812   [Vol-2, Issue-2, February 2026]

Website: www.jcrelc.com

[73] Sakowicz, M., & Hamidi, F. (2025). Exploring the role of Generative AI in supporting students with disabilities through the lens of Universal Design for Learning. *American Journal of STEM Education, 15*, 1–22. https://doi.org/10.32674/yeyaaq64

[74] Salas-Pilco, S. Z., & Yang, Y. (2022). Artificial intelligence applications in Latin American higher education: A systematic review. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 19*(1), 21. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-022-00326-w

[75] Samala, A. D., Rawas, S., Criollo-C, S., Fortuna, A., Feng, X., Prasetya, F., & Hidayat, R. (2024). Social media in education: Trends, roles, challenges, and opportunities for digital-native generations—A systematic literature review. *Asian Journal of University Education (AJUE), 20*(3), 524–539. https://doi.org/10.24191/ajue.v20i3.27869

[76] Sarker, I. H. (2022). AI-based modeling: Techniques, applications and research issues towards automation, intelligent and smart systems. *SN Computer Science, 3*(2), 158. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42979-022-01043-x

[77] Setyawan, H., Sukardi, S., Diati, L. S., Fitri, Y. I., & Ambiyar, A. (2024). Enhancing basic computer network learning outcomes of vocational high school students by implementing a video-based learning model. *Jurnal Pendidikan Teknologi Kejuruan, 7*(1), 11–21. https://doi.org/10.24036/jptk.v7i1.35023

[78] Sheppard, S. (2025). Defining inclusion: What it is, what it is not, and why it matters. *Emerging Perspectives: Interdisciplinary Graduate Research in Education and Psychology, 8*(1). https://journalhosting.ucalgary.ca/index.php/ep/article/view/81820

[79] Slootman, M., Altes, T., Domagała-Zyśk, E., & Nielandt, B. (2023). E-inclusion: Towards inclusive digital learning. In *Proceedings of the Erasmus Scientific Days 2022* (pp. 103–116). Atlantis Press. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-036-7_10

[80] Sun, D., Looi, C. K., Yang, Y., & Jia, F. (2025). Exploring students' learning performance in computer-supported collaborative learning environment during and after pandemic: Cognition and interaction. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 56*(1), 128–149. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13492

[81] UNESCO. (2021). *AI and education: Guidance for policymakers*. UNESCO Publishing.

[82] U.S. Department of Education, Office of Educational Technology. (2023). *Artificial intelligence and the future of teaching and learning: Insights and recommendations*.

[83] Viberg, O., Grönlund, Å., & Andersson, A. (2023). Integrating digital technology in mathematics education: A Swedish case study. *Interactive Learning Environments, 31*(1), 232–243. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2020.1770801

[84] Wang, S., Wang, F., Zhu, Z., Wang, J., Tran, T., & Du, Z. (2024). Artificial intelligence in education: A systematic literature review. *Expert Systems with Applications, 252*, 124167. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2024.124167

[85] Williamson, B., & Eynon, R. (2020). Historical threads, missing links, and future directions in AI in education. *Learning, Media and Technology, 45*(3), 223–235. https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2020.1798995

[86] Wolf, A. (2023, November 15). *The future of learning: UDL, tech, and AI in the classroom*. Novak Education. https://www.novakeducation.com/blog/the-future-of-learning-udl-tech-and-ai-in-the-classroom

[87] Wu, D., Zhang, S., Ma, Z., Yue, G., & Dong, R. K. (2024). Unlocking potential: Key factors shaping undergraduate self-directed learning in AI-enhanced educational environments. *Systems, 12*(9), 332. https://doi.org/10.3390/systems12090332

[88] Zhai, C., Wibowo, S., & Li, L. D. (2024). The effects of over-reliance on AI dialogue systems on students' cognitive abilities: A systematic review. *Smart Learning Environments, 11*(1), 28. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-024-00316-7

[89] Zhai, X., Chu, X., Chai, C. S., Jong, M. S. Y., Istenic, A., Spector, M., Liu, J., Yuan, J., & Li, Y. (2021). A review of artificial intelligence (AI) in education from 2010 to 2020. *Complexity, 2021*(1), 8812542. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8812542

[90] Zhang, J., & Zhang, Z. (2024). AI in teacher education: Unlocking new dimensions in teaching support, inclusive learning, and digital literacy. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 40*(4), 1678–1692. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12988

[91] Zhu, H., Sun, Y., & Yang, J. (2025). Towards responsible artificial intelligence in education: A systematic review on identifying and mitigating ethical risks. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 12*(1), 1111. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-025-05252-6.