

Queer and Crip Embodiment in Woolf's *Orlando* and Beckett's *Endgame*: A Comparative Study

Muskan Ahmed

Postgraduate Scholar, Department of English Literature, Lingaya's University, Faridabad, Haryana, India
Email: muskanahmedpsychophile@gmail.com | Orcid ID: 0009-0008-8064-9606

Received:- 01 January 2026/ Revised:- 10 January 2026/ Accepted:- 18 January 2026/ Published: 31-01-2026

Copyright © 2026 Journal of Creative Research in English Literature & Culture

This is an Open-Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution

Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted

Non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract— This article argues that Virginia Woolf's *Orlando* (1928) and Samuel Beckett's *Endgame* (1958), when read through a combined queer/crip theoretical lens, reveal a continuum in twentieth-century literature's critique of normative embodiment, temporality, and autonomy. While *Orlando* employs playful gender transformation and centuries-spanning narrative to challenge fixed identity and chrononormativity, *Endgame* presents a stark vision of disabled interdependence and stalled time in a post-apocalyptic setting. Through close textual analysis informed by queer theory (Butler, Halberstam) and disability studies (McRuer, Kafer), this study demonstrates how both texts destabilize the modern ideal of the autonomous, progressive, able-bodied subject. The comparison illuminates how privilege mediates experiences of non-normativity while revealing shared strategies of resistance to compulsory able-bodiedness and heteronormative time. Ultimately, this dual reading contributes to interdisciplinary conversations at the intersection of literary modernism, queer studies, and disability theory.

Keywords— *queer theory, crip theory, disability studies, Virginia Woolf, Samuel Beckett, temporality, embodiment, modernism.*

I. INTRODUCTION

The twentieth century witnessed profound literary interrogations of what constitutes a "normal" body, identity, and life course. This article examines two landmark texts that challenge these norms from distinct but complementary angles: Virginia Woolf's *Orlando* (1928) and Samuel Beckett's *Endgame* (1958). Separated by three decades and emerging from different literary movements—modernist playfulness and postwar absurdism—both works dismantle core assumptions of liberal humanism, particularly the ideals of stable identity, bodily autonomy, and progressive temporality.

Existing scholarship has productively analyzed *Orlando* through queer and feminist lenses, highlighting its subversion of gender binaries and linear biography (Caughie; Marcus). Similarly, *Endgame* has been read through existential and, more recently, disability studies frameworks that examine its representation of dependency and bodily limitation (Mitchell and Snyder; McMullan). However, these analyses typically remain within discrete theoretical domains. This article bridges that divide by applying an integrated queer/crip hermeneutic—a framework that recognizes how norms of gender/sexuality and ability/disability mutually constitute and reinforce one another (McRuer; Kafer). We argue that reading these texts together through this lens reveals a more comprehensive critique of what Robert McRuer terms "compulsory able-bodiedness" and its entanglement with heteronormativity.

Our analysis pursues three interrelated questions: How do *Orlando* and *Endgame* envision embodiment outside binary gender and ableist paradigms? In what ways do their narrative structures formalize "queer time" and "crip time" to resist chrononormative progress? And how does comparing these works—one centering privileged fluidity, the other stark dependency—deepen our understanding of the material and historical conditions of non-normative survival?

The article proceeds in four parts. Following this introduction, Section 2 outlines the key theoretical convergences between queer and crip theory that inform our reading. Section 3 offers a close analysis of *Orlando*, focusing on gender performativity

and queer temporality. Section 4 turns to *Endgame*, examining crip time and the ethics of interdependence. Finally, Section 5 synthesizes these readings to articulate the broader implications of this comparative approach for literary studies and critical theory.

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK: QUEER/CRIP INTERSECTIONS

Queer theory and disability studies (often termed "crip theory" in its critical, political mode) share foundational commitments to deconstructing normative systems that govern bodies, desires, and life trajectories. Both fields interrogate how power operates through the production of "normal" versus "deviant" categories, and both attend to the lived experiences of those who inhabit non-normative positions.

Judith Butler's theory of gender performativity illuminates how identity is constituted through repeated stylized acts rather than expressing a pre-existing essence (Butler 1990). This framework proves essential for understanding *Orlando's* gender transformation, which reveals gender as a mutable construct rather than a biological destiny. Complementarily, Jack Halberstam's concept of "queer time" challenges "chrononormativity"—the organization of life into linear, reproductive timelines centered on marriage, childbearing, and inheritance (Halberstam 2005; Freeman 2010). Queer time opens alternative temporalities that resist this normative scheduling.

From disability studies, the social model distinguishes impairment from disability, locating the latter in social and environmental barriers rather than individual bodies (Oliver 1990). Robert McRuer's *Crip Theory* (2006) extends this, arguing that compulsory able-bodiedness functions analogously to compulsory heterosexuality, with both systems producing and marginalizing their "deviant" others. Alison Kafer's *Feminist, Queer, Crip* (2013) further develops the concept of "crip time"—flexible, non-progressive temporalities that accommodate disabled bodyminds and challenge curative futures.

These theoretical strands converge in their critique of the autonomous, productive, future-oriented subject of modernity. By bringing them together, we can attend to how *Orlando's* gender fluidity and *Endgame's* disabled embodiment both disrupt this ideal, while also recognizing the material differences that privilege and vulnerability make. This integrated lens avoids reducing disability to metaphor or celebrating queer fluidity without attention to embodiment's constraints.

III. QUEER FLUIDITY AND PRIVILEGED EMBODIMENT IN ORLANDO

3.1 Gender Performativity and the Constructed Self:

Woolf's *Orlando* famously features a protagonist who begins as a sixteenth-century nobleman and midway through the narrative, without explanation, becomes a woman, living on for centuries with minimal aging. This transformation brilliantly illustrates Butlerian performativity. As the narrator notes, "Orlando had become a woman—there is no denying it. But in every other respect, Orlando remained precisely as he had been" (Woolf 102). The self remains continuous while the social signification of the body changes entirely.

This moment lays bare the constructed nature of gender: Orlando's essential consciousness persists, but their social existence is radically altered. As a man, Orlando enjoys property rights, diplomatic access, and poetic ambition; as a woman, they encounter legal restrictions, societal expectations of marriage, and diminished public authority. The satire lies in how arbitrary yet consequential these gendered scripts are. Woolf demonstrates that gender is less an identity one *is* than a role one *performs* within specific historical constraints. Orlando's performance shifts, but the performative nature of gender remains constant.

3.2 Queer Temporality Against Chrononormativity:

Orlando's temporal structure constitutes a radical experiment in queer time. Spanning over three centuries (1588–1928), the narrative refuses linear development and progress. Orlando does not age conventionally; time accelerates and decelerates whimsically. This structure rejects what Elizabeth Freeman terms "chrononormativity"—the use of time to organize individual human bodies toward maximum productivity, often through heteroreproductive milestones (Freeman 2010).

Orlando's life evades these milestones. Their marriage to Shelmerdine is notably unconventional—a partnership of mutual convenience and companionship that produces no children and demands no cohabitation. This arrangement exemplifies what Halberstam calls "queer temporalities," lives that unfold outside "the temporal frames of bourgeois reproduction and family,

longevity, risk/safety, and inheritance" (Halberstam 2005, 6). Orlando's centuries of writing, traveling, and loving disrupt the generational logic of birth, reproduction, and death that structures normative time.

The narrative form itself reinforces this queer temporality. The mock-biographical voice jumps capriciously between centuries, lingers on trivial details, and skips major historical events. This nonlinear, anti-teleological structure refuses to organize time as a coherent progression toward a meaningful end, instead embracing a more fluid, episodic, and playful experience of temporality.

3.3 Privilege and the Limits of Fluidity:

While *Orlando* celebrates gender fluidity, it does not ignore how privilege mediates non-normative existence. Orlando's aristocratic wealth, property ownership, and literary talent provide a buffer against the most severe consequences of gender transgression. They can retreat to their country estate, maintain financial independence, and cultivate artistic circles that offer relative acceptance. This insulation allows Orlando's queerness to be experienced more as creative freedom than as material vulnerability.

A crip perspective, however, reminds us to attend to embodiment's material constraints even within this privileged context. Orlando's body, while seemingly ageless, is not invulnerable. They experience fatigue, cold, desire, and the weight of historical memory. The text subtly registers how even a privileged queer body must negotiate physical limits and social environments not designed for it. Orlando's gender transition, while seamless in essence, exposes them to new forms of bodily regulation and vulnerability (e.g., legal constraints on property ownership for women). The novel thus offers neither a purely utopian vision of fluidity nor a tragic narrative of constraint, but a complex portrait of how privilege and difference intersect.

IV. CRIP TIME AND INTERDEPENDENCE IN ENDGAME

4.1 The Misfit Body in a Broken World:

Beckett's *Endgame* presents a stark contrast to *Orlando*'s expansive, playful world. Set in a bare interior with two small windows looking onto a lifeless exterior, the play features four characters whose bodies are marked by impairment and dependency: Hamm, blind and unable to stand; Clov, who can only walk stiffly and with pain; Nagg and Nell, Hamm's parents who are legless and confined to ashbins. This is a world where the "misfit" between body and environment, to use Rosemarie Garland-Thomson's term, is total (Garland-Thomson 2011).

Unlike *Orlando*, where non-normativity is a site of creative possibility, in *Endgame* it is the brutal condition of existence. Hamm's blindness and immobility are not metaphors but material realities that structure his entire existence and his power dynamics with Clov. The play refuses to sentimentalize or heroicize these conditions; instead, it presents them as the grim facts of a world in decay. This aligns with a crip theory insistence on engaging disability as lived materiality rather than symbolic abstraction.

4.2 Crip Time as Repetition Without Progress:

The temporal structure of *Endgame* embodies what Alison Kafer theorizes as "crip time." The play's famously circular dialogue ("Finished, it's finished, nearly finished, it must be nearly finished") creates a sense of time that is stagnant, repetitive, and devoid of progression or hope for cure (Beckett 1). Unlike normative time that moves forward toward improvement or resolution, crip time accommodates different rhythms, delays, and "a future that might not follow a straight line from the present" (Kafer 2013, 27).

Hamm and Clov's routines—checking the windows, telling stories, requesting painkillers—are performed day after day with no expectation they will change or improve. This repetitive stasis rejects the modernist narrative of progress and the curative timeline that demands disabled bodies strive toward able-bodied norms. In *Endgame*, there is no "getting better," only ongoing survival within limitation. This represents a radical break from teleological time and embraces what might be called a "crip present," where value is not contingent on future improvement.

4.3 Interdependence as Ethical Practice:

A central contribution of *Endgame* from a crip perspective is its unflinching portrayal of interdependence. Hamm and Clov are locked in a symbiotic yet antagonistic relationship of care: Clov provides food, mobility, and access to the outside world;

Hamm provides (or withholds) meaning, narrative, and the semblance of purpose. Their dynamic complicates simplistic notions of autonomy, exposing the fiction of the independent subject.

As Kafer notes, "dependency is not a sign of failure but a fact of life" (2013, 145). *Endgame* dramatizes this fact in its most raw form. The characters' survival is entirely collective, even if that collectivity is fraught with resentment and power struggles. This representation challenges cultural ideologies that privilege independence and self-sufficiency, instead proposing interdependence as the fundamental condition of existence, especially for disabled lives.

The play also resists redemptive or heroic narratives of care. Clov's service is grudging, Hamm's demands are tyrannical, and their relationship is punctuated by threats of abandonment. This complexity avoids sentimentalizing dependency while still insisting on its necessity. It presents care not as a moral ideal but as a practical, often messy, reality of embodied life.

V. SYNTHESIS: FROM PLAYFUL SUBVERSION TO STARK SURVIVAL—A QUEER/CRIP CONTINUUM

Reading *Orlando* and *Endgame* together through a queer/crip lens reveals a provocative continuum in twentieth-century literature's engagement with non-normative embodiment and temporality. Both texts dismantle the ideal of the autonomous, progressive, able-bodied subject, but from different historical moments and with different affective registers.

Orlando, emerging from the optimistic experimentalism of high modernism, uses playfulness, parody, and privilege to imagine gender and time as fluid constructs. Its queer critique is expansive, spanning centuries to show the arbitrariness of social categories. *Endgame*, born of postwar trauma and existential crisis, offers a materialist, minimalist vision of survival within inescapable limitation. Its crip critique is circumscribed, focusing on the immediate realities of dependency in a ruined world.

Yet important connections emerge. Both texts reject chrononormativity: *Orlando* through centuries-spanning narrative that evades reproductive timelines, *Endgame* through repetitive, non-progressive dialogue that refuses curative futures. Both challenge autonomy: *Orlando* through its demonstration of identity as socially performed and relational, *Endgame* through its depiction of survival as fundamentally interdependent. Both reconfigure embodiment: *Orlando* by decoupling gender from biological sex, *Endgame* by presenting disability not as lack but as a different mode of being-in-the-world.

The comparison also highlights how privilege mediates experiences of non-normativity. Orlando's aristocratic status buffers their gender transgression, allowing it to be experienced as creative freedom. Hamm and Clov, devoid of such privilege, face non-normativity as raw survival. This contrast is crucial for intersectional analysis, reminding us that queer and crip experiences are always shaped by other social locations.

VI. CONCLUSION: IMPLICATIONS FOR LITERARY AND CRITICAL THEORY

This comparative reading demonstrates the value of applying an integrated queer/crip framework to literary analysis. By bringing these texts and theories into conversation, we gain a more nuanced understanding of how twentieth-century literature contested normative constructs of body, time, and self. The approach reveals connections between modernist play and absurdist minimalism that might otherwise remain obscured by generic and period boundaries.

For queer theory, this analysis suggests the importance of engaging more substantively with disability—not merely as metaphor but as material reality that shapes temporal experience and relationality. For disability studies, it demonstrates how queer conceptions of performativity and temporality can enrich readings of disabled embodiment in literature. For literary studies more broadly, it offers a model for interdisciplinary reading that respects textual specificity while drawing on critical frameworks from adjacent fields.

Ultimately, both *Orlando* and *Endgame*, in their radically different ways, envision lives that flourish outside normative scripts of progress, productivity, and independence. They imagine alternative temporalities (queer and crip time), alternative relationalities (chosen affinities and necessary interdependencies), and alternative embodiments (fluid and limited). In doing so, they contribute to what José Esteban Muñoz might call a "queer utopia" and what Alison Kafer might term a "crip future"—not a perfect world, but one where difference is not merely tolerated but becomes the ground for reimagining what it means to live, and live on, together.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] Adorno, T. W. (1991). Trying to understand Endgame. In R. Tiedemann (Ed.), *Notes to literature* (Vol. 1, pp. 241–275). Columbia University Press. (Original work published 1958)
- [2] Ahmed, S. (2006). *Queer phenomenology: Orientations, objects, others*. Duke University Press.
- [3] Beckett, S. (1958). *Endgame: A play in one act*. Grove Press.
- [4] Butler, J. (1990). *Gender trouble: Feminism and the subversion of identity*. Routledge.
- [5] Caughie, P. L. (1991). *Virginia Woolf and postmodernism: Literature in quest and question of itself*. University of Illinois Press.
- [6] Derrida, J. (1978). The theater of cruelty and the closure of representation. In *Writing and difference* (A. Bass, Trans., pp. 232–250). University of Chicago Press. (Original work published 1967)
- [7] Doan, L. (2001). *Fashioning sapphism: The origins of a modern English lesbian culture*. Columbia University Press.
- [8] Esslin, M. (1961). *The theatre of the absurd*. Anchor Books.
- [9] Freeman, E. (2010). *Time binds: Queer temporalities, queer histories*. Duke University Press.
- [10] Garland-Thomson, R. (2011). Misfits: A feminist materialist disability concept. *Hypatia*, 26(3), 591–609.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1527-2001.2011.01206.x>
- [11] Heilbrun, C. G. (1973). *Toward a recognition of androgyny*. University of Chicago Press.
- [12] Halberstam, J. (2005). *In a queer time and place: Transgender bodies, subcultural lives*. New York University Press.
- [13] Kafer, A. (2013). *Feminist, queer, crip*. Indiana University Press.
- [14] Marcus, J. (1987). *Virginia Woolf and the languages of patriarchy*. Indiana University Press.
- [15] McMullan, A. (2010). *Performing embodiment in Samuel Beckett's drama*. Routledge.
- [16] McRuer, R. (2006). *Crip theory: Cultural signs of queerness and disability*. New York University Press.
- [17] Mitchell, D. T., & Snyder, S. L. (2000). *Narrative prosthesis: Disability and the dependencies of discourse*. University of Michigan Press.
- [18] Muñoz, J. E. (2009). *Cruising utopia: The then and there of queer futurity*. New York University Press.
- [19] Murray, S. (2008). *Representing autism: Culture, narrative, fascination*. Liverpool University Press.
- [20] Oliver, M. (1990). *The politics of disablement*. Macmillan.
- [21] Sandahl, C. (2003). Queering the crip or crippling the queer? Intersections of queer and crip identities in solo autobiographical performance. *GLQ: A Journal of Lesbian and Gay Studies*, 9(1–2), 25–56. <https://doi.org/10.1215/10642684-9-1-2-25>
- [22] Smith, V. (1987). *Orlando and the politics of pastiche*. *Modern Fiction Studies*, 33(2), 253–273.
- [23] Woolf, V. (1928). *Orlando: A biography*. Hogarth Press.